

February 20, 1975

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well they would be . . . under the terminology of the bill it's bonds, loans, notes, advance monies of the political subdivision that undertakes the project. I would assume that political subdivision, were it wealthy enough, it could probably use its own revenues. Although they probably wouldn't do that. Otherwise, I would assume that they would go to their municipal or county bonding system, whatever that might be, and they would be subject to those conditions.

SENATOR KEYES: Yes. I think you have a good bill here. What we're saying here then is after the constitutional amendment, if passed, then we would make our statutes according to the way we wish to have it operate. I'm just wondering if we might get back into this argument we've got going on now, whether they'd negotiate or be up for bid. This will be up to our legislation after the constitutional amendment?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: That's correct, Senator Duis. I don't think it would be appropriate . . . as you can read the language of the amendment it is broad language, as most constitutional amendments are. I don't think that we would want to write into the Constitution in this specific instance the specific kinds of bonds. I think that would be unduly restrictive and extremely short-visioned because the amendment may or may not be enacted two years from now, and whatever the economic conditions that would prevail. I would think it would be better left to the preception and judgment of the Legislature at that time.

SENATOR KEYES: I agree with you wholeheartedly.

SPEAKER: Any further discussion? What will we do with the bill Senator Cavanaugh?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I think Senator Chambers has this one.

SPEAKER: Senator Chambers. Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, to save maybe me speaking at all could I speak to him for a second without asking the question over the mic?

SPEAKER: You may do so, we'll be at ease for a few minutes. Make it short boys.

AT EASE

SPEAKER: Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'm 37 years old. I'm not a boy by any definition, perhaps Senator Cavanaugh is. For the Legislatures ettification, when black people, no matter how old we get we become uncle. No matter how big we are we are a boy. I'm not a boy. I am not a boy. I know that Senator Burbach did not mean it in the way that I'm taking it, but boy is a fighting word to me and if there's anybody that wants to provoke me, when we're outside this